

# ALBERTA

## OFFICE OF THE INFORMATION AND PRIVACY COMMISSIONER

### ORDER F2016-08

March 11, 2016

#### ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT AND PARKS

Case File Number 002047

**Office URL:** [www.oipc.ab.ca](http://www.oipc.ab.ca)

**Summary:** The Applicant made an access request to Alberta Environment and Parks (the Public Body) pursuant to the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (the Act). The Public Body did not respond to the Applicant within the time limits set out in section 11 of the Act. Therefore, the Adjudicator ordered them to do so.

**Statutes Cited:** **AB:** *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. F-25, ss. 11, and 72.

#### I. BACKGROUND

[para 1] This inquiry arises from a request for records made by the Applicant to the Public Body on December 17, 2014. The Applicant complains that the Public Body has not responded to the access request. The Applicant requested review by the Commissioner of the Public Body's lack of response to the access request.

[para 2] On February 1, 2016, the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner issued a Notice of Inquiry. I received submissions from the Public Body.

#### II. ISSUES

[para 3] The Notice of Inquiry dated February 1, 2016 state the issue in this inquiry as follows:

Did the Public Body comply with section 11 of the Act (time limit for responding)?

### **III. DISCUSSION OF ISSUES**

**Did the Public Body comply with section 11 of the Act (time limit for responding)?**

[para 4] Section 11 of the Act states:

*11(1) The head of a public body must make every reasonable effort to respond to a request not later than 30 days after receiving it unless*

*(a) that time limit is extended under section 14, or*

*(b) the request has been transferred under section 15 to another public body.*

*(2) The failure of the head to respond to a request within the 30-day period or any extended period is to be treated as a decision to refuse access to the record.*

[para 5] The Public Body admits that it has not responded to the Applicant within the timelines set out in section 11 of the Act. It states that this delay was not intentional but rather related to a combination of the Applicant's access request being complicated and a shortage of staff to process a higher than normal amount of access requests.

[para 6] The Public Body further states that it has been in regular contact with the Applicant and hopes to respond to the Applicant in the "next few weeks".

[para 7] I understand from the Public Body's submissions that this delay is an anomaly, however, the Act sets out timelines that must be adhered to. The Public Body has failed to meet its obligations under section 11 of the Act.

### **IV. ORDER**

[para 8] I make this Order under section 72 of the Act.

[para 9] I find that the Public Body did not respond to the Applicant within the time limit set out in section 11 of the Act. While it is too late for the Public Body to now comply with that section of the Act, I order the Public Body to respond to the Applicant in accordance with the Public Body's remaining duties under the Act.

[para 10] I order the Public Body to notify me in writing, within 50 days of being given a copy of this Order, that it has complied with it.

---

Keri H. Ridley  
Adjudicator